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ABSTRACT
Peripheral cemento-ossifying fibroma (PCOF) is an osteogenic neoplasm of odontogenic origin with uncertain pathogenesis, which presents as a localized growth manifesting predominantly in adolescent and young adults. Early diagnosis by thorough radiographic and histologic examination is crucial for positive identification of lesions. Proper surgical excision with aggressive curettage of adjoining tissues and complete elimination of etiological factors is extremely important for prevention of recurrence. This clinical report presents two cases of patients who reported with localized gingival overgrowth, diagnosed as PCOF after histological examination. The clinical, radiographic, and histologic features of the two cases are discussed, and postsurgery, the patients have been followed up for a period of 1 year with no recurrence. In conclusion, it is difficult clinically to differentiate between the various gingival lesions. Therefore, this article emphasizes that though recurrence rate of PCOF is high, most of these cases can be adequately managed with sound periodontal treatment.
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INTRODUCTION
The periodontal structures may be affected by a large variety of local and systemic lesions and disorders. Majority of these localized gingival lesions are plaque related and typically represent “reactive proliferative lesions” rather than true neoplasms. Peripheral cemento-ossifying fibroma (PCOF) is one such lesion accounting for about 3.1% of all oral tumors and 9.6% of gingival lesions. Peripheral cemento-ossifying fibromas have been described in the literature since the 1940s, but it was Waldron who established it as a benign fibro-osseous lesion. The 1992 World Health Organization (WHO) classification included it in the group of nonodontogenic tumors as a single designation of cemento-ossifying fibroma (COF) with two histologic types, which are difficult to distinguish. A variety of names have been used to describe these fibroblastic calcifying gingival lesions, suggesting the dispute surrounding their classification. Cemento-ossifying fibroma is considered a rare osteogenic tumor (nonodontogenic) with variable expressiveness. This article reports two cases of PCOF, which after surgical excision have been followed up for a period of 1 year with no recurrence.

CASE REPORTS

Case 1
A 24-year-old female reported to the Department of Periodontics with the chief complaint of painless swelling in the gums in the lower front tooth region of the jaw since 1 year. Initially, patient noticed a small swelling on the labial gingiva in 41 and 42 region, which gradually increased to the present size causing difficulty in mastication and lip approximation. The patient presented with no significant contributing medical history.
Gingival examination revealed a large solitary pedunculated gingival mass measuring 3.5 × 2 cm, located on the labial gingiva in the interdental papilla between 41 and 42 (Fig. 1). The overlying mucosa appeared pink...
The swelling was firm in consistency and nontender, and there was no bleeding on palpation. Pathologic migration of 41 and 42 was noted probably because of excessive pressure from the gingival growth, as evaluation of occlusion did not reveal any trauma from occlusion between the maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth. Both 41 and 42 presented with Grade I mobility. Periodontal examination did not reveal any other significant findings.

The mandibular occlusal radiograph revealed a soft tissue shadow with diffuse radiopacities extending from 31 to 42. Intraoral periapical (IOPA) radiograph revealed only mild crestal bone loss in the interdental area between 41 and 42; however, the diffuse radiopacities were not well appreciated in the IOPA.

The overgrowth was provisionally diagnosed as peripheral ossifying fibroma. Differential diagnosis of pyogenic granuloma and peripheral giant cell granuloma was also given.

Oral prophylaxis was performed, and oral hygiene instructions (OHIs) were given. One week later, excisional biopsy was done under local anesthesia (LA) (Fig. 2). The adjacent teeth were scaled to remove any residual local irritants, and thorough curettage of gingival tissues was performed. The excised tissue was submitted for histopathological analysis. Histopathological diagnosis was PCOF.

The surgical site appeared to be healing well on the 10th day postoperatively. Patient was followed up at regular intervals, and oral hygiene was reinforced (Fig. 3). No recurrence was noted on the 12th month follow-up visit (Fig. 4).

**Case 2**

A 25-year-old female reported to the Department of Periodontics with the chief complaint of a painless swelling in the gums in relation to lower front tooth region of the jaw since 2 years. The patient also complained of difficulty in mastication and displacement of the mandibular anterior teeth.

The patient noticed a small swelling in the interdental gingiva between 31 and 41, which gradually increased to the present size over a period of 2 years. Bleeding while brushing and difficulty in mastication were experienced as the swelling had increased in size to involve incisal edges of 31 and 41. Migration of mandibular anterior teeth was also noted.

Gingival examination revealed a diffuse, lobulated sessile gingival overgrowth measuring approximately 1 cm mesiodistally and about 2.5 cm buccolingually in the interdental papilla region between 31 and 41 and extending both buccally and lingually. The gingival overgrowth covered almost two-thirds of tooth structure of 31 and 41 and was more pronounced on the lingual aspect (Figs 5A and B) than buccal. The overlying mucosal surface appeared pinkish in color with erythematous patches. The lesion was firm in consistency and lobulated. The swelling was firm in consistency and nontender, and there was no bleeding on palpation. Pathologic migration of 41 and 42 was noted probably because of excessive pressure from the gingival growth, as evaluation of occlusion did not reveal any trauma from occlusion between the maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth. Both 41 and 42 presented with Grade I mobility. Periodontal examination did not reveal any other significant findings.

The mandibular occlusal radiograph revealed a soft tissue shadow with diffuse radiopacities extending from 31 to 42. Intraoral periapical (IOPA) radiograph revealed only mild crestal bone loss in the interdental area between 41 and 42; however, the diffuse radiopacities were not well appreciated in the IOPA.

The overgrowth was provisionally diagnosed as peripheral ossifying fibroma. Differential diagnosis of pyogenic granuloma and peripheral giant cell granuloma was also given.

Oral prophylaxis was performed, and oral hygiene instructions (OHIs) were given. One week later, excisional biopsy was done under local anesthesia (LA) (Fig. 2). The adjacent teeth were scaled to remove any residual local irritants, and thorough curettage of gingival tissues was performed. The excised tissue was submitted for histopathological analysis. Histopathological diagnosis was PCOF.

The surgical site appeared to be healing well on the 10th day postoperatively. Patient was followed up at regular intervals, and oral hygiene was reinforced (Fig. 3). No recurrence was noted on the 12th month follow-up visit (Fig. 4).
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but was edematous in areas, which appeared reddish in color. Bleeding on probing and grade I mobility with 31 was detected.

Mandibular occlusal radiograph revealed only a soft tissue shadow extending from tooth 32 to 41. Intraoral periapical radiograph showed mild crestal bone loss between 31 and 41 (Fig. 6).

A provisional diagnosis of peripheral giant cell granuloma and differential diagnosis of pyogenic granuloma, fibroma, and peripheral ossifying fibroma was established.

After routine blood investigations and informed consent, oral prophylaxis was performed, and OHIs were given. One week later, lesion was completely excised under LA. Adjacent teeth were scaled, and thorough curettage of underlying tissues was also done. The excisional biopsy was submitted for histopathological analysis (Fig. 7), which revealed that it was PCOF.

The patient presented for follow-up examination 7 days postoperatively and surgical site appeared to be healing well. The patient was followed up for a period of 1 year with no recurrence (Figs 8 and 9).

Histopathological Examination

Both the biopsy specimens showed similar histopathological findings. Histopathology examination revealed ulcerated parakeratinized, stratified squamous epithelium overlying fibrocellular connective tissue (Fig. 10A). The cellular connective tissue presented with chronic inflammatory cell infiltrate in areas of ulceration, numerous dilated blood vessels, minimal collagen fibers, few multinucleated giant cells, and numerous foci of cementum-like calcified masses of varying size (Fig. 10B).

DISCUSSION

Peripheral cemento-ossifying fibroma is a well-demarcated and occasionally encapsulated lesion. It consists of fibrous tissue with variable amounts of calcific material resembling bone (ossifying fibroma), cementum (cementifying fibroma), or both. Gingival lesions are
Although etiopathogenesis of PCOF is still unclear, it has been suggested that these lesions originate from cells of periodontal ligament. Peripheral cemento-ossifying fibroma is often associated with local irritants, such as subgingival plaque, calculus, or trauma from dental appliances and poor-quality dental restorations. Certain common diagnostic clinical features of PCOF have been previously outlined. Peripheral cemento-ossifying fibroma is more predominantly seen in women, with peak incidence between 2nd and 3rd decades, suggesting that hormonal influences may play a role. About 60% of tumors occur in maxilla, with anterior gingiva being most commonly involved. Clinically, PCOF is a slow-growing gingival mass measuring less than 2 cm in size and located more commonly in interdental gingiva. It may be sessile or pedunculated, identical in color to that of gingiva or slightly reddish, and may have an ulcerated surface. Peripheral cemento-ossifying fibroma is more firm and less friable than other lesions and generally has a longer course, which explains the calcification and/or ossification. Radiographically, some, but not all lesions, may show foci of calcifications scattered in the central area of the lesion. Radiographs usually do not reveal any underlying bone involvement but rarely superficial erosion of bone may be seen. Histological examination is imperative for definitive
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Peripheral cemento-ossifying fibroma: a slowly progressing non-neoplastic lesion, the growth of which is generally limited. However, since the lesions are asymptomatic, many cases may progress for long periods before patients seek treatment. Postoperative follow-up is required because of 20% recurrence rate.

CONCLUSION
Peripheral cemento-ossifying fibroma is a slowly progressing non-neoplastic lesion, the growth of which is generally limited. However, since the lesions are asymptomatic, many cases may progress for long periods before patients seek treatment. Postoperative follow-up is required because of 20% recurrence rate.
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