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ABSTRACT

India being a developing nation has a significant percentage of population who are living in low socioeconomic conditions. Hence, health care is not considered a priority, and dental awareness is very low. Thus, spreading dental awareness among the poor, and motivating and counseling them will enable promotion of prosthodontic services in order to improve the oral health of patients with missing teeth. A questionnaire-based survey was formulated to evaluate dental colleges across India regarding their methods to spread awareness of dental treatment and various methods to extend the same to underprivileged people in the society. Results of the survey showed that though majority of the colleges were spreading awareness of dental treatment, very few of those were targeted at patients with low socioeconomic status.
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INTRODUCTION

Loss of some or all teeth results in disruption of function as well as esthetics of an individual. This compromised oral status leads to inadequate dietary intake affecting nutritional status and also general health of the individual. Hence, replacement of missing teeth is important for restoring function and esthetics and for preserving the remaining oral structures. In a developing nation like India with poor literacy rate and most people having low socioeconomic conditions, motivation for dental treatment is important. Available literature has shown that 70% of India’s population is residing in the rural areas, while 80% of dentists are practicing in major cities. Patients have various reasons for not replacing their missing teeth, such as financial issues, lack of education, lack of time, and lack of awareness, etc. Underprivileged patients are generally of the opinion that dental treatment is expensive. Therefore, spreading awareness and counseling them will enable promotion of prosthodontic services in order to improve the oral health condition of patients with missing teeth. As majority of India’s population live in rural areas, it is of paramount importance to look after their oral health for a better future.

Dental colleges can fulfill this unmet need through their outreach programs. Azad et al highlighted the significant role that dentists play in the spread of awareness about prosthodontic treatment and that they can also facilitate further spread of information to patients’ friends and relatives.

The objective of the present survey was to assess the methods used by dental colleges across India to spread awareness of dental treatments, cost-effectiveness of the treatments provided, and also to assess use of new approaches to extend their treatment to underprivileged people. The questionnaire was also used to evaluate new approaches regarding extension of these treatments to underprivileged people.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional survey was conducted using a self-structured questionnaire which consisted of 15 questions of closed-ended, open-ended, and multiple-choice questions. The survey was undertaken after the due approval of the institutional ethical board following which a list of dental colleges on the Dental Council of India (DCI) website was obtained. A questionnaire was pilot-tested among four dental colleges to check the feasibility of the survey. The face validity of the questionnaire was checked by submitting it to five experts in the field of prosthodontics, who approved of the questionnaire before it was mailed to the dental colleges across India. Reliability of the questionnaire was checked by using Cronbach’s alpha and it was found to be 0.08.
The questionnaire consisted of the following components:

- Methods of spreading awareness of dental treatment
- Cost-effectiveness of dental treatment provided
- Extension of prosthetic treatment to underprivileged patients

The questionnaire was sent by e-mail to the principals of the 289 dental colleges listed on the DCI website. The mail questionnaire was accompanied by a letter which elaborated the objectives of the survey and also emphasized the importance of an immediate response. Figure 1 illustrates a sample of the survey questionnaire mailed to all participants. A time period of 2 months was given for the return of the answered questionnaires.

After a 2-month interval, 86 answered questionnaires of the 289 mailed questionnaires were received. At this point of time, in order to increase the number of responses, a reminder letter was sent to the nonrespondent colleges stressing the importance of their participation and the urgency of returning the answered questionnaire. Following the reminder, 81 answered questionnaires were received thus bringing the total of answered questionnaires to 167. After data collection, it was compiled using Microsoft Excel and subjected to statistical analysis.

### Statistical Analysis

The received questionnaires were analyzed according to the subject to which the questions referred. The data collected from these 167 answered questionnaires were analyzed to obtain the results. The statistical analysis was carried out using descriptive statistics, and the results were represented in percentage.

### RESULTS

Of the 289 survey questionnaires mailed, 167 questionnaires were completed and returned. Thus, out of the 289 listed colleges, 167, i.e., 58%, participated in the survey (Graph 1).

#### Method of Spreading Awareness of Dental Treatment

For the question on methods of connecting to large number of people, 43% of colleges preferred local newspapers, while 36% preferred pamphlets as their means of spreading awareness and the remaining 10% used both of the above. Six percent colleges in rural areas preferred loudspeakers and visits along with pamphlets (Graph 2).

All the colleges who responded had satellite clinic facility (100%), while 92% provided treatment at their
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satellite clinics (Graph 3). The treatments varied from basic treatments like routine dental checkup, oral prophylaxis, and extractions to more complex treatments like root canal therapy and dentures. However, majority of the treatments were limited to oral prophylaxis and extractions.

As many as 46% of colleges held free dental check-up camps once a month, while 30% of colleges conducted it once in 6 months and remaining 24% conducted it once a year (Graph 4).

Cost-effectiveness of Dental Treatment

A majority, i.e., 70%, of colleges provided some prosthodontics treatments like removable partial dentures (RPD) or complete dentures (CD) free of cost, while 5% treated patients with fixed partial dentures (FPD) free of cost. Some colleges provided all treatments other than FPDs free for below-poverty-line card holders.

In response to a question on frequency of free denture camps, 60% of the colleges held it once a year, while 40% held it twice a year (Graph 5).

Extension of Prosthodontic Treatment to Underprivileged Patients

An overwhelming 92% of colleges have counseling for underprivileged patients who do not wish to undergo treatments in order to find out the reason and address the issue. As many as 85% of the colleges conducted seminars to counsel and educate the underprivileged patients regarding various prosthetic treatment options and their importance. They also provided brief explanations so that these patients would have a better understanding of the treatment.
A majority, i.e., 73%, of colleges provided free transport to the patients. Only 39% colleges received sponsorship from their university or nongovernmental organizations as financial assistance to raise awareness. In response to a question to the respondents to rate their colleges on a scale from 1 to 5 on the amount of resources and efforts in reaching underprivileged patients, 54% of colleges rated themselves at a score of 5, 15% rated themselves at score 4, while 31% rated their score at 3 (Graph 6).

DISCUSSION

The urban dentist to population ratio is 1:10,000 as opposed to rural areas which is 1:150,000. The number of qualified dentists in our country is found to be 118,000, still there is lack of availability of basic oral health education, resulting in poor oral health also affecting the quality and standard of life. Underprivileged patients are unaware of such treatments also due to their low socioeconomic status, mostly patients do not seek treatment immediately after tooth loss, even if replacement of missing teeth is strongly indicated which can render them completely edentulous before time.

Unfortunately, as most dental specialists in India are concentrated in urban areas, the semi-urban and rural areas are left underserved. The effect of geographical distance, socioeconomic inequality, and “rural–urban” developmental imbalance on oral health care in India is profound. Less than 20% of the existing primary health centers in India have the services of a dentist available. At the moment, India has 1 dentist for 10,000 persons in urban areas and 1 per 250,000 persons in rural areas. Almost three-fourths of all the dentists are clustered in the urban areas, which is home to only one-fourth of the country’s population. It is often difficult for the urban poor and the rural population to get access to dental care. Dental colleges can fill this vacuum. Oral disease prevalence can be reduced by early identification, investigation, and providing the desired treatment which is possible by introducing dental outreach programs by dental colleges. A prosthodontic awareness and motivational program was undertaken by Sarang et al to help the aged and to change their attitude toward dental care and treatment. The community-based dental outreach programs play a very crucial role in introducing awareness through health education and dental treatment services to the community members. These programs are found to be very effective for diminishing health unevenness. The attendance and utilization of dental services in the outreach programs seem to be influenced by sociodemographic characteristics of the population.

Patients’ income levels may preclude them from seeking routine dental care. Tuominen et al found financial constraints to be a main cause. Tennstedt et al reported disinterest as the most common reason for non-utilization of prosthodontic treatment in New England. The other reasons cited were unavailability/inaccessibility of services and systemic disease.

There remains a need to educate patients as to the need for replacement/regular check-up of their prosthesis. Dental colleges should be at the forefront of bringing quality care to the underprivileged population.

A 3-year study conducted by Pawar et al showed dental outreach program to be very effective in improving oral status of schoolchildren during a 3-year follow-up period in Lucknow city. Studies by Berge reported media to be the main source of information. Various studies have demonstrated that early prevention can substantially reduce future dental care costs and one such dental outreach program (ABCD) in Washington has proved to be cost-effective method of improving oral health status of young children. Financial constraints are important determinants for seeking treatment and selecting a specific prosthodontic treatment option.

Due to the limitations imposed by the type of evaluation (e-mail survey), the number of colleges that answered the questionnaire (58%) can be considered satisfactory, and is comparable to a similar study done by Souza et al in 2002, in which a rate of 60% of answers (33 schools) was accomplished.

Potential sources of bias in the present study include selection bias as the dental colleges were chosen from the colleges listed in the DCI website. The survey excluded colleges not listed on the website hence there could be a sampling bias, as a convenient type of sample was chosen. There could also be a design bias, as the survey questionnaire was e-mailed to the various dental colleges, and e-mail is a poor data collection method. Since only 58% of the colleges responded, making
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the nonresponse rate more than 15%, it could also be a potential source of bias. Lastly, there could also be Hawthorne effect bias.

A single study assessed awareness, cost-effectiveness, and extension of prosthodontic treatment which indirectly gives information about utilization of oral health services provided. This is an important determinant to achieve “Health for all” goal. Also, even though e-mail is a poor data collection method, the survey had 167 respondents which was a satisfactory response. However, since it was a cross-sectional survey, it assessed the variables at one point of time and also the sample was a convenient type of sample which could result in bias. Also since the nonresponse rate was more than 15%, it could be one of the weaknesses of the study.

CONCLUSION

The utilization of dental services can be improved by identifying the barriers and by providing appropriate education and intervention. The awareness of the participating colleges was quite high regarding the need for prosthodontics treatment, but a number of measures are required to make sure patients with low socioeconomic background get adequate access to dental care. Mobile dental clinics, separate geriatric dental outpatient departments, dental camps, free or subsidized dental treatment, and prosthodontic outreach programs are possible solutions to change attitudes, spread awareness, and extend treatment.
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